G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895-6
~~191~~ .
(S. R.)

File No. 108 - 1898

Serial Nos. 1 - 11

Later references.

22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 11

Major ~~Part~~ B for July 1902

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Ranganaikamma, Inamdar of Jukal village regarding her claim to Sayer Abkari and Cak.

Sl. 11 — No: 211/P.S.20-02 dt 23.7.02 To The Supdt. Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Draws attention to order No: Pet 206 dt 9.9.1898 with which the file of Correspondence in question has been duly returned.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Commissioner

Indexed

Subject Background.

Please see pg 18 of
the Imam Speech.

26/2/96

Called by a visit
from the Imam
Supt together
with the original
papers of settlement

4.9.96

I have kept
the Imam speech
for refer in another
file (Hansard)

9-9-96

Govt Record

Put up a copy of the Inam Rules for the use of the Rev Commr, Ed^s

Sd 27/6/98

Copy put up
R.B. 27/6/98

Sub^n to Rev Commr Ed^s

The Inam Settlement in this case was made in 1871. The pet^r appeals against that Settlement in 1895 & contends that she was entitled to the Akkari, Sayer & Forest rights of the Inam village of Tookalli under the summary

P.T.O

Sub^t to pf C^r
Sd 2/9/98

Please see matter.
Sd
2/9/98
K Krishnan

Govt Copy
Sup^t
Sd
Govt copy put up
Sd 6/9/98

Rule XXII of the Original Crown Rules (P 40 Rev Manual) does not lay down any period within wh such appeals shd be brought

= Govt have found great difficulty in solving the question of compensating the Indians who have the undoubted right to recover the property taken from them, in fact that question is still undecided. The reopening of a case in which the claim a right was declared not to be entertained 27 years ago does not seem expedient

References invited to Nos 6 & 26 of the Precis Copy of Papers put up by 29/6/98

not at all expedient — nor is it right that Govt should be harassed with claims for so many years —

9677

I wd reject the petition.

2. 9. 98

Rec'd 6 Inv 29/6/98

NB

If this case is allowed the Govt will be besieged with many more to deal with in London. It would at least be a great misfortune if Govt should be harassed with claims for so many years

Ord 1/8

S.R. 10-8-95

Gen. Serial No. 32.

189

No. 516

Name of Petitioner. Ringanaickamma Incumbrancer of

Village. Tookallu

Taluk. Bowringpet

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 31-7-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 2

Abstract.

With reference to the Proceedings enclosed, dt. 30/7/95 granted to her by the Superintendent of the Inam Settlement directing that he cannot make any alteration in the Inam settlement made by his predecessor in respect of her claim to Sayer, Abkari and Toll in the Survamanya village of Tookallu, Bowringpet Taluk, the Inam Superintendent may be directed to revise his predecessor's order as per terms inserted in the Inam Summd. granted by Col. H.H. the Maharaja, Krishna Raja Wadeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I.

Rec'd 30/8

Stamp sufficient

In time - (from the date of the Inam Supdt's endorsement produced viz. 30-7-95)

The endorsement refers to orders passed at the Settlement in Sept. 1871.- Copy of the Inam Register produced shows that the Inam Commissioner in Sapient terms reserved the items of revenue in question to Govt. at the Settlement then made

This appln does not fall under any of the clauses (a) to (f) of para 1 of Section 10 of the new Council Rules. Whether it could be treated as a Council case, like those refd. to in clause (e) of the Sec. referred to is a qn. for consideration.

Sub. for orders.

C 1/8

Tell the Petitioner I shall submit the Petition to Government

C 18/8/95

Draft Rec'd 5/9



...IRE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
...ITION DEPARTMENT.
...D. No. 516
21-7-95

516

Ex. 2

10-9-98 To,

Two rupees Stamp affd

P. N. Krishna Murti Esqre B. L.

Revenue Councellor.

Mysore Government

through the Revenue Secretary.

Petition of Ranganayakamma,
Shanbhar of Sookal village,
through her husband Mr. C. B.
Srinivachar

Respectfully Sheweth,

That she is the Shanbhar of Sookal and as such she is entitled to the rights of every kind superficial and underground within the four boundaries of the said village under a Sanad granted by his late Highness Sri Krishna Raja Wodayar Bahadur G. C. S. I.

That in the Sanad no item of Revenue has expressly been reserved to the grantor nor any clause inserted implying such meaning.

That although the Sanad expressly reserves to the grantee the following items, viz. the right to Layer and Akara

as well as the right to Wood and Timber (Kidagavaŋ and Tara being the terms used in the Samand which includes Sandal and Teak), the Superintendent of Canara has reserved these items to the Sircar, the Government. This seems to have been done under a misconception.

That the application of the Supdt. of Canara to correct the Error committed by his predecessor by carefully perusing the Samand items with the Canara Register and to allow her Every privilege granted in the Samand by His late Highness. But the Supdt. of Canara gave her the accompanying Endorsement without making any Enquiry.

That Article 26 of the Compilation of Circular orders for the guidance of the Officers of the Canara Department requires that under such Circumstances as the petitioner is now placed, the representation of the Canara Superintendent

to revise his predecessors final orders must be made through the Government.

That the Original Sannad is already before the Superintendant of Inams and the Extract of the Inam Register is herein Enclosed.

The petitioner therefore prays that this petition may be kindly referred to the Inam Superintendant for his favorable consideration and disposal.

For this act of Justice the petitioner ever prays for your long life

ರಂಗನಾಯಕಮ್ಮ

(Signed) Ranganayakamma.

No.
516

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

No. 103-95

Serial No 2

The 5th September 1895

Endorsement granted to Ranganaikamma, Inamdar of Tukal village, Bowringpet Taluk,

in reply to her Petition Registered No. 576 dated 30th July 1895 in regard to her claim to Sayer, Abkari and Seat in the village.

Petitioner ~~is informed that~~ should produce copy of the Sanad referred to in the Petition

By Order'

Draft. HBL 6/9/95

Under ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

M.G.C.P.B—19-2-95—1,000

20-0505
9-9-95

1895

No. 1712

Name of Petitioner, Ranganayaki Ammal through her husband T.B. Tirumala Char

Village, Tookal Kyasamballa Hobli

Taluk, Bowringpet

District, Kolar.

Date of Arzi, 2-11-95

Date of Receipt, 8-11-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 1-

ABSTRACT.

Producing an authenticated copy of the Sanad granted by His late Highness Shri Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur for the Inam village of Tookal, as per this office End^t. No. 5-11 of 6th September 1895.

10-8-95

B

Subd^r pr orders with reference to the office note & Order on Rep^r No 576 Rec^d 15.11.95

Subd^r Councellor

15-11-95

What is the compilation of circulars referred to in Rep^r Mr. Chandler's receipt

16/11/95

Office

Normalisation of

7/11/95

Subd^r

Search was made for the required compilation in the General Record also. No such reference is in this office

29-11-95

All circular orders referred to may be seen in Inam Supdt's Vol. + Where it has been printed at page

3/12

Article 26 ref^d to by Rep^r is printed at page 8 of the Inam Rules. It is as follows:-

No 26 - Alteration of Title deeds or final Orders in any case } It is not competent to the Inam Supdt. or the Inam Commr. to make

P.D.O.

Bllu

8/11-

any changes in a title-deed or a final order. The Chief Commr can alone sanction this. When alteration or modification appears necessary in any title-deed or final order, a full report will be made to the Inam Commissioner for submission to the Chief Commr for consideration and orders.

Subd for orders —

25/12

1712

Recd by post
6-11-95

Rec'd 6.11.95

Enc: 1.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 1712R
DATE 8-11-95

P. N. Krishna Murti Esquire B.A.

Revenue Councillor

The Council of Regency

Government of Mysore.

Bangalore.

The petition of Rangamayakki Ammal, Inamdar of Sookal through her husband Mr. S. B. Srinivachar.

Respectfully Sheweth,

That as per instructions Conveyed in Endorsement No 5169 of 6th September 95 the petitioner begs to submit an authenticated copy of the Sanad granted by His late Highness Sri Christia Raja Wadayar Bahadur G. C. S. I. Maharaja of Mysore.

S. B. Srinivachar

2nd November 1895.
Sookal, Kysamballa
Hobli, Bowringpete
Taluq:

Pet
495

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Section SR
File No 108-95
Serial No 4

OFFICIAL-MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition

Registered No. 5/64/7/2 ~~dated~~ without date and dated 2nd March 1895 respectively, received at the Dewan's Office from Ramgavi Narkamma, Inamdar of Lookallu, Bowringpet Taluk, ~~Complaining of~~ praying for the recognition of her claim to ~~the Inam Settlement of the Sept of~~ Inam Settlement in Mysore ~~directing contrary to the terms of the grant specified in the Sanad, the reservation to the Govt of the Sayer, Abkari, Forest and Timber collections of her Inam village of Lookal~~ Death of her Sepdar land

is forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their enclosures, to the ~~Deputy Commissioner of the~~ Inam Supt Inam Settlement in Mysore ~~District~~ for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition. & together with the original papers of Settlement.

2. The petitions with ~~its~~ their enclosures should be returned.

By order,

BANGALORE,
9 — 1896.

~~For Chief~~ Secretary.

Draft

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Bbn.
23/9.

ನಂಬರ್.
5764/7/12.

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ ಚಿಕ್ಕಿಂಗಳ್ಳೇ ಚಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ರೂ ಕ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

ಇರುವ ರಂಗನಾಯಕ ವೆಂಕಟರಾಯ ಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ ~~ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ~~ 30-7-95 ರಲ್ಲಿ 2-11-95 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಗಳನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಬಹ್ಯಾದ ಇನಾಂ ನೂತನ ಕಂಪನಿ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ರೂ ಬಾಬಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬತಾರೀಖು 23 ನೇ ಮೊಹೆ ಕಲಿಯುಗ ಸಂ 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದಪಜಾಗಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

For ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

draft

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—21-9-93.—3,000.

499

Submitted with reference to the Revenue Councillor's order on the abstract of letter No. 5764/712 of 8th Dec. 96.

10/12

Sent to the 2nd Councillor

The Inam Supt's Commissioner's settlement paper contains the opinions of Mr. B. Gopalrah Spl assistant & Col. Cole, Comr

W/7

11/12/96

R2 6.30 Pm
12/12/96

request that an enquiry may be held regarding the previous enjoyment of the rights now claimed & a further report submitted, returning the enclosures

Read
23/12/96

Office
Draft docket & Inam Supt

W/
23/12

6

1896

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3708
Pet 327
4 DEC. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General & Revenue Departments

No. 162-96

Dated 30th November
Despatched 1st December
Received

Enclosure No. One bundle

No. 46 of 1896-97

Submits a report on the Complaint of Ranganath-amial Inamdar of Hookal S.I. village of Bowringpett Taluk regarding the right to Lower Toddy shops.

Vellore March
2/12/96

Rec 5/12

No. 46
1 bundle
& one Inam Reg.

Inam Supt's Office.
Bangalore d/ 30th Novr 1896.
1st Decr 1896

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

In reply to Government Official Memorandum No. Pet. 499 of the 23rd September last, I have the honor to report that the claims of the Petitioner to Sayer, Abkari, Sandal and Teak, were distinctly and clearly disallowed by Colonel Cole late Inam Superintendent.

2. The Petitioner basis his claims upon certain words in the Sanad which he maintains admits his claims.

3. These words are "Ichala Pairu" — which he says conveys Toddy rights, "Sunka" which he says conveys Sayer rights and "Gidagaval" which he states conveys Sandal and Teak.

4 These words occur in the Sanad

and

and may be taken to confer the above rights under Para 1 of Inam Precis No. 6.

5. The facts however are that Petitioner did not enjoy the said rights at the time of Inam Settlement – whether he enjoyed them previously can only be ascertained on further enquiry.

6. Colonel Cole apparently decided on the closing lines of Inam Precis No. 6 Para 2, but this seems to apply only to unauthorized grants.

7. The Sannad certainly seems to convey the rights claimed as far as Sayer & Toddy are concerned, but it is very doubtful whether "Gidangaval" comprises Sandal and Teak – such items are usually in other Sannads were clearly expressed.

8. The enclosures of the Inamo under reply are herewith returned together with the original Inam Settlement Register of Thookallu Village, Bowringpett Taluk, Sheet No. 371.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servant

J. D. Grant
Supt. Inam Setts.

1897

From The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Rev. & Ag. Dept.

To The Superintendent of
Lucum Settlement
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated — 4th January 1897

Despatched ?

Received ✓

General No. 6011
P. No. 1012

Enclosure No. One Cuddle
+ original Lucum register

His letter No. 46 of 30th
November 1896, reporting
on the petition of Lucum
Ranganaikamal-
Lucumdar of Kookalla
village - Bowringpett
Taluk, urging her claim
to Lucumgiri &c.

With reference to the
above, has the honour
to request that he will
be so good as to hold an
enquiry if necessary,
regarding the previous
enjoyment of the rights
now claimed, and favour
this office with a further
report in the matter.

The

The enclosures of this letter are desired by us, and have to be returned. —

By order

[signature]

for Secretary.

Draft

[signature]

1831

REVENUE DEPT. Cl. No. 5044 No. Pet 371 14 JAN 1897-98

ALW 15/1/98

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 7th
Despatched 14th } January
Received

No. 53 of 1897-98

Enclosure No. One file

His docket No. 6011 P. No 1012 dated 7th January 1897.

Has the honor to forward herewith the Correspondence ending with Bowringpet Amildar's report No. 357/328 dated 21st ultimo, from which it will be observed that the previous enjoyment of the rights of Sayar Yoddy &c. now claimed by the Inamdar of Tookallu Karamanieu Village in the Bowringpet Taluk rests on the mere verbal Statement

Read 18/1 Refs putup. AK 18/1/98. Subd 19.1.98

The Amildar of Bowringpet in Telugu & gives the lease-amounts for the 10 years from Anand & Nidhrothkari (1854-55 = 1863-64, both inclusive) The Kadim tenants of the villages have also deposed that the Akkani & prest. dems were enjoyed by the Inamdar.

Against the above has to be set off the opinion of the Personal Assistant & the Inamdar Comm[r] (records in 1871) that the Inamdars had at no time enjoyed the sayer & Akkani Revenues

Subd 15/1 Committee

Rd 7.15 Rec. 26.1.98.

My 26/1/98

Mr has to submit for Madhavarao's

25/6/98 Recd 27/6/98

Statement of the old inhabitants of the village and on a copy of Mutchalika executed by a Contrac=tor.

2. The enclosures of the docket under reply are herewith returned.

Supt. of Train Sets.

516-1712 10-9-98

10-9-98

No.

No. Pol 206 9.9.98

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Supdt of Inam Settt for information together with the records received with his letter docket No. 53 dated 1/14 January 1998.

"By order"

for Secy

10-9-98

Noted
dd. 23.9.98

To Revenue Branch

Reference Jacket

RB 15/7/02

15/7/02

To

Sub'd with reference No 25-7-02

Serial No 10

Transferred to Polit Branch.

21/7/02

22-7-02

A draft put up for approval

23.7.02

FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT OF NAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Rl. No. 1902-1903.
JUL. 1[illegible]
Per No 23.
DEWAN'S OFFICE

To THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE, GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } July 1902
Received

No. 7. of 1902—903

Enclosure No.

This office docket No 53 dated 7/14th January 1898.

Begs to invite attention to the above, and requests an early return of the file of correspondence of Survamanya Jookallu village of Bowringpete Talook, there with sent as they are required for reference in this office.

Supt. of Nam Settlements

11.7.02

Please put-up the reference

Please obtain from

14/7

MGCPB—9-4-01—250 Govt 6205

1902-03

189

From

SR

No 108-98

Sl No 11

To

The Superintendent

Dated 23.7.1902

Despatched 26

Received July 1902

General No. 211

P.Z No. 20-02

Enclosure No. 2.

His docket N° 7 dated 9

Instt requesting early re=

=turn of the file of corres=

pondence of Survey amp

Tookallu village, Bavingpa

Taluk -

In reply to the above

has the honour to invite

attention to this office

N° 7ct/206 dated 9th Sept 1898

(forwarding for his in=

=formation copy of this

N° 516-1712 dt 10-9-98

Office Endorsement given

to Imandar Ranga

naike

Naikkanma of Tookallu
village) with which the
file of correspondence,
in question, had been
duly returned —

2. The original Inam
Register of Tookallu village,
sheet No. 371, and a petition
dated 22nd June 1871 from
Muthammachar (Sarva
Muniyadar of Tookallu)
to the late Inam Comr.,
which were received along
with the correspondence
referred to above, are here=
=with returned —

By Order

for Secretary

24/7/02